

## APPENDIX B – CONSULTATION RESPONSES

### **PARTNER RESPONSES:**

#### **Lincolnshire Police:**

1. Should the requirement not to consume alcohol remain as part of the PSPO. – Yes, this is a useful tool for us the police to have and also contributes to the pleasant atmosphere in the city. It would be more intimidating to users of the city centre if we didn't have this as it would allow for stag/hen parties and homeless people to consume alcohol on the streets.
2. Should the requirement not to ingest, inhale, smoke or otherwise use intoxicating substances remain as part of the PSPO. Yes, for the same reasons as above.
3. Are there any other issues that you believe should be considered for inclusion in the PSPO? Please provide evidence of this issue. Evidence might include the number of reports, photos etc. Is there scope to include those illegal street traders that pop up and sell illegal vapes etc. Lincoln BIG (Marion) has photographic evidence.
4. Is the area designated by the PSPO still appropriate (Map is attached as Appendix A). Yes.

As the Neighbourhood Policing Inspector for Lincoln City Centre, I would just like to add extra comments. As the primary enforcement agency, the PSPO is a very useful piece of legislation. It allows use to utilise the powers it gives when the threshold for statute law being broken has been met. E.G., my examples for questions one and two would allow use to maintain a safe and vibrant city, So if someone was not meeting the threshold for Drunk and Disorderly in a Public Place or sniffing aerosol from a can.

#### **Rough Sleeping Team:**

1. Should the requirement not to consume alcohol remain as part of the PSPO. Yes
2. Should the requirement not to ingest, inhale, smoke or otherwise use intoxicating substances remain as part of the PSPO. Yes
3. Are there any other issues that you believe should be considered for inclusion in the PSPO? Please provide evidence of this issue. Evidence might include the number of reports, photos etc. Perhaps the pitching of tents in the area in the area should be prohibited (injunctions previously sought to address this issue)
4. Is the area designated by the PSPO still appropriate (Map is attached as Appendix A). Yes

#### **CCTV:**

Q1 – Yes

Q2 – Yes

Q3 – No

Q4 – Yes

#### **Housing Officer:**

1. Should the requirement not to consume alcohol remain as part of the PSPO. Yes

2. Should the requirement not to ingest, inhale, smoke or otherwise use intoxicating substances remain as part of the PSPO. **Yes**
3. Are there any other issues that you believe should be considered for inclusion in the PSPO? Please provide evidence of this issue. Evidence might include the number of reports, photos etc **.N/A**
4. Is the area designated by the PSPO still appropriate (Map is attached as Appendix A).  
**Yes**

**PUBLIC RESPONSES:**

Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
YES	YES	YES – SOCIAL GATHERINGS	YES
YES	YES	NO	NO – THE ALLOTTED AREA IS SO WIDE AS TO RENDER THE SPECIAL DESIGNATION ALMOST POINTLESS. THIS IS EXACERBATED BY LACK OF AVAILABLE POLICE RESOURCES.
YES	YES	YES – GENERAL LOITERING AND INTIMIDATING ATTITUDE	YES
NO	NO	NO	NO – YOU’RE DOING THIS TO BAN A CERTAIN TYPE OF PERSON, IF THIS WAS A BUNCH OF WOMEN ON THEIR WAY FOR A NIGHT OUT YOU WOULDN’T HAVE AN ISSUE, BUT A HOMELESS / AT RISK PERSON, WE LOOK DOWN ON.
NO	NO	NO	NO- IMPROVE SUPPORT, DON’T CRIMINALISE.
NO	NO	NO	NO - NO
YES	YES	YES – DRIVING OR CYCLING ON THE STREET	YES
YES	YES	NO	YES
YES	YES	YES – FLY TIPPING AND OTHER ASB	COULD BE A LARGER AREA
YES	YES	NO	YES
YES	YES	NO	YES
YES	YES	NO	YES

